## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES LED

		ZUUU AUG 15 PM 4: 11 This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp
•		CLERK US DISTRICT CONTRACT
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
FRO	M: <u>J. Paris</u> ,	Deputy Clerk  BREGEIVED DATE: DEPUTY 8/12/08
CAS	E NO.: <u>08cv859</u>	DOCUMENT FILED BY: \( \text{Alvin Hennington, Jr.} \)
CASE TITLE: Hennington v. US Customs and Boarder Protection		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
✓ L	ocal Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
$\overline{\Box}$ :	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Document not in pleading format
		Date forwarded: 8/13/08
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
<del>-</del> A		
	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is N copy of this order of	OT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a on all parties.
	Rejected documen	t to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
		that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant
	to Local Rule 83.1	CHAMBERS OF: HOVEW
	Acl	$\Delta$
	Dated: 815 cc: All Parties	08 By: